ST Meeting #18 - 1.25.22

Participants:

Nico Diaz
Chief Buckner
Mark King
Chief Schoff
Chief Trudell
Chief Cecile
Mark Rusin
Derek McGork
Martha G.
Jason Scharf
Johannes
Mujtaba
Daniel Schwartz
Ocesa Keaton
Kelsey May - late
Ken Stewart - late

Agenda:

- Welcome Jason!
- Samsara
    - Review recommendation to the Mayor draft
    - Vote on recommendation
- License Plate Reader (LPR)
    - Review and discuss recommendations spreadsheet
    - Formal recommendation to the Mayor - discussion/decision
- Coming Up
- Questions

Meeting Notes:

Samsara:

- Nico asked if anyone had any thoughts or revisions for the recommendation document that he drafted.
    - Mujtaba & Ocesa both confirmed that they felt the document was good. Mark has a concern about his title that was included in the document. Nico may remove the "organization".
- We need to reach out to Lanessa for her vote.
- Martha noted the large number of absences, she asked if we have a policy for a situation like this.

STWG Member	Vote in Favor	Vote in Favor w /Stipulations	Vote Against	Abstention	Absence
Kelsey May		X			
Sharon Owens		X			X
Martha Grabowski		X			
Mark King		X			
Ken Stewart		X			
Chief Gleeson					X
Johannes Himmelreich		X			
Ocesa Keaton		X			
Jen Tifft		X			X
Lanessa Chaplin					X - not absent, Daniel did not vote
Mujtaba T.		X			
Michelle S.					X
Jake Dishaw					X
Chief Cecile		X			
Nico Diaz		X			
Brian Eisenberg					X

John Kane					X
Jessica Brandt		X			

LPR:

- What does data does SPD think we should be careful about.
    - The thought is that the information the LPR is collecting is just a vehicle/time/place, it does not tie a person or individual to the car. The point was made that anyone can see a car pass through an intersection at any given day or time if they happen to be there.
    - Muj supported this response, he commented that because anyone could see the car it would be considered public information.
    - A question was asked about the 3rd party vendor and if they would be able to sell our data. The answer to that is no.
    - Data retention - is there a good middle ground between the 3 to 6 months that was projected and 5-year requirement the State upholds.
        - Perspective was shared, if you were a victim of a crime how long would you want that data available to help solve your crime? SPD thinks about data retention from the public safety lens. They think about solving a cold case 5 years old and being able to pull that data if needed.
        - It was proposed that SPD have a 2 tier retention schedule

Action Items:

☐

⅂ The majority is in favor for sending the recommendation to the Mayor.

⅂ We will be moving the discussion around forming the recommendation to our next meeting since we have run out of time.